Approved For Release 2001/07/16 : CIA-RD CONTROL 003001

000300 3001 4 Registry 68 - 490 17

17/2-2147 17/4 6-8-54

12,8-11483

24 October 1968

ELE Coject')

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT

: Course Report for Project No. 1-69, 23 - 27 September 1968

25X1A2g

1. Administration

The fourteenth running of Project the amount CIA 25X1A2g orientation course for selected senior military officers, was held 23 through 27 September in Room IA-07, Readquarters.

The planning and conduct of the course was coordinated closely with C/MPS/PSG, and I worked with Messrs.

25X1A2g

2. Class Profile

Roland J. Obey, USN.

Fifty-one officers attended the course. The breakdown by military command or major component was as follows:

| ** ** | |
|-----------------|---|
| Navy Department | 5 |
| US Marine Corps | 5 |
| US Army | 5 |
| US Air Force | 5 |
| PACOM | 8 |
| USEUCOM | 4 |
| USETRICOL | 2 |
| USEOUTACOM | 2 |
| CINCLANT | 3 |
| SAC | 2 |
| ALCOM | 1 |
| JC\$ | 5 |
| DIA | 4 |

A copy of the student roster is Attachment A.

Approved For Release 2001/07/16: CIA-RDP78-04727A000300130011-4

SEART



3. Course Content

FOIAb3b1

Several changes, some frankly experimental, were made in the content of the course. Only one DDP area Division Chief was asked to speak instead of the five who speke the previous year. Several DDI presentations including DCS and were added. The NPIC exhibit could not be held because of budgetary considerations, and for the first time a panel discussion by CIA representatives at different military commands was included. Attachment B is a copy of the course schedule.

4. Student Critiques -- Evaluations

The military audience was in general interested and attentive but not very demonstrative. Because their individual interests and the nature of their relationships with the Agency varied widely, so did their evaluations of individual coarse presentations. Nevertheless, a nearly unanimous majority found the course to be of value.

In answer to the question "liow interesting have you found the course overall?", 35 said of great interest, 13 of moderate interest, and one of slight interest.

In response to the question "Of what value do you consider this course to you in your present or next assignment?", 17 said of extraordinary interest, 32 of considerable interest, nine of moderate interest, and one of slight interest.

Asswering the question "Do you feel the course achieved its stated objective?", 20 said yes to an exceptional degree, 27 to a moderate degree, two to a slight degree, and no one answered that the course had not met its objectives.

Selected comments have been extracted from the written student critiques and are quoted in Attachment C.

5. Comments and Recommendations

A detailed list of observations and recommendations, both administrative and substantive, is being prepared and will be kept on file for use in preparing the next running of Project Some 25X1A2g

25X1A2a

of the more significant points, however, deserve to be mentioned here.

A fairly general comment by the students, even by those who were most appreciative and lausintery, was that they lacked time to take care of personal and official matters during the week. This was particularly emphasized by those from commands outside of Washington. Although JCS assured us that all the parent services had instructed participants to take care of such matters before or after the course; in fact (apparently because of budgetary problems), they were not allowed this time. All of us concerned with preparation for the course agree that sext year Wednesday afternoon should be set aside as "free time."

With such a large group there is a normal division between those students who are eager to ask questions and those who went a break for personal reasons. It would seem better for the next running to have speakers devote 45 minutes to lectures and allow five minutes for general questions with a standard ten-minute break during which the more interested students could pose questions to the speaker. Several students, even though endorsing the value of the course, suggested some relief from a steady diet of lectures. We should try at the next running to break each day with a tour (they were appreciative of the usscheduled tour of the Operations Center) or an exhibit.

Since the conclusion of the course both the statement and FI/D have requested that OTR consider including presentations by these components at the next running. A session on cover seems to be in order, but a discussion of FI/D raises an obvious security clearance question (as indeed did the NPIC presentation). To raise the clearance above the Top Secret level which we now require for all students may not be feasible, but we will explore the possibility.

For an intensive orientation course given to a large group of non-Agency participants, Room IA-97; is far from an ideal setting. The Ciandestine Services Review Course is scheduled to be held during Nevember in the auditorium, and if this proves to be a satisfactory solution to the problem of accommodating a large number of students, we should arrange to have Project take place in the auditorium.

25X1A8a

25X1A2g

. 3 -

A number of students proposed that more time be scheduled for CS brieflags, and quite a few suggested that we make greater use of case histories or examples and reduce the time devoted to details of Agency organization.

Chief, Headquarters Training

Attachments as stated

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

HT/OS/TR/Estate:brs

Distribution

Original - DTR

1 - C/OS/TR

1 - DDP/TRO

1 - C/MP8/P8G

1 - HT/OS/TR

1 - Chrone

1 cc (w/a) - DDS